

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,123	04/08/2004 ·	Yukio Hosaka	251598US0	8635
22850	7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006	· ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,123	HOSAKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI	DIVIQUET TO EVDIDE 4 M	IONITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the present the present the present the copies.</li></ol>	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/820,123 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This supplemental election/restriction requirement is necessary because the required paragraphs setting the reasons for the restriction were inadvertently left out of the previous action. Any inconvenience is sincerely regretted. The examiner requests that applicant's representative telephone the examiner if any further problems arise in relation to this Office action.

## Election/Restrictions

## Note the election of species.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6, 10, 11, drawn to a product, classified in class 451, subclass 527.
  - II. Claims 7-9, drawn to a method of making and product used in the method of making, classified in class 264.
  - IV. Claim 12, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 451, subclass41.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(i)).

Application/Control Number: 10/820,123

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another materially different process, such as cutting the pad from a web of extruded material.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process can be used in another materially different process, such as using the light transmitting member as a visual aid in abrading a surface with a hand held tool, see Lux, 2,755,601 as an example.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species 1, figures 1-8; species 2, figure 24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 3723

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3723

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 571-272-4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723